PrEP Use During Periods of HIV Risk Among East African Women in Serodiscordant Relationships
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Background: Pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) is efficacious for African women at risk for HIV, but data on adherence outside clinical trials are sparse. We describe the persistence and execution of PrEP use among women participating in a large open-label PrEP demonstration project, particularly during periods of HIV risk.

Setting and Methods: Three hundred ten HIV-uninfected women in HIV serodiscordant couples in Kenya and Uganda were offered and accepted PrEP. Electronic monitoring caps were used to measure daily PrEP adherence. Time on PrEP while at risk for HIV (when the HIV-infected partner was on antiretroviral therapy <6 months) and weekly adherence while on PrEP were calculated and compared among older and younger (<25 years old) women.

Results: As defined above, women were at risk for HIV for an average of 361 days; 54% took PrEP during their entire risk period and 24% stopped but restarted PrEP during their risk period. While on PrEP, women took ≥6 doses/wk for 78% of weeks [67% of weeks for women aged <25 years, 80% of weeks for women aged ≥25 years (P < 0.001)], and ≥4 doses for 88% of weeks [80% for those <25, 90% for those ≥25, (P < 0.001)]. Compared with historical, risk-matched controls, HIV incidence was reduced 93% (95% confidence interval: 77% to 98%) for all women and 91% (95% confidence interval: 29% to 99%) among women aged <25 years.

Conclusion: Women, including young women, in HIV-serodiscordant couples took PrEP successfully over sustained periods of risk. Although young women had lower adherence than older women, they achieved strong protection, which suggests that women can align PrEP use to periods of risk and imperfect adherence can still provide substantial benefit.
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INTRODUCTION

Young African women are at particularly high-risk for HIV; 25% of new infections in Sub-Saharan Africa occur in women aged 15–24, compared with 12% in the men of the same age. Oral pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) is an effective method of HIV prevention, including for women, when adherence is high. However, concerns have been raised about the ability of women and particularly young women to take PrEP at sufficient levels to provide protection. The level of adherence necessary to achieve protection in women has been debated, with pharmacokinetic modeling analyses suggesting that higher dosing is needed to achieve protective levels in cervical tissue (ie, ≥6 doses per week), compared with ≥4 doses per week in rectal tissue. Adherence to PrEP among women in clinical trials varied widely, and adherence may be higher outside clinical trials when PrEP is offered as a known effective prevention tool rather than an experimental drug. We used data from a large implementation study that demonstrated a strong protective effect against HIV infection to describe the persistence and execution of PrEP use among East African women in HIV serodiscordant couples during periods of HIV acquisition risk, focusing on women aged <25 years.
METHODS

Study Population

Enrollment in the Partners Demonstration Project and the counseling provided to participants has been previously described.8–10 HIV serodiscordant couples who mutually disclosed their HIV status were selected, using an empiric risk score (≥5), which was associated with HIV infection rates of >3% per year in the uninfected partner.11 Using a strategy of “PrEP as a bridge to ART,” HIV-uninfected partners were encouraged to take PrEP until their partners had initiated antiretroviral therapy (ART) (not all HIV-infected partners were eligible or chose to start ART at enrollment) and were on ART for 6 months, at which time their viral load would likely be suppressed. A total of 1013 couples, 334 with female HIV-uninfected partners, were enrolled at 2 sites each in Kenya and Uganda. Women who were found to have been HIV positive at baseline (n = 6), who lacked electronic monitoring data (n = 7), or who did not initiate PrEP (n = 11) were excluded, leaving 310 HIV-uninfected women in the analysis. All participants provided written informed consent in their preferred language.

Participants were given electronic monitoring devices (MEMS caps, WestRock, Switzerland), which recorded daily bottle openings. MEMS data were downloaded and other variables collected at quarterly study visits, at which time 3 months of PrEP (emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate 200 mg/300 mg) was dispensed. Although initially women were not given PrEP during pregnancy, the protocol was revised midway to permit women to take PrEP during pregnancy if they chose. Study-related drug stops were implemented if a participant acquired HIV; reported a severe, study-related adverse event; began breastfeeding; had creatinine clearance <60 mL/min; or at the study physician’s discretion.

Statistical Analysis

Analyses focused on persistence and execution of PrEP adherence. Persistence was calculated as the period on PrEP divided by the period at risk, reported as a percent. By this definition, persistence can be >100% if time on PrEP exceeds the estimated time at risk.12 Time on PrEP was determined as the time from PrEP initiation until the earliest of HIV seroconversion, 28 consecutive days of recorded nonuse by the MEMS cap, or study end. HIV risk is highest when the infected partner is not virally suppressed; however, sexual activity is also a factor. Therefore, risk was defined in 2 ways: any time until the HIV-infected partner had achieved ≥6 months on ART (at risk) and any time before ≥6 months on ART until the woman first reported no sexual activity over the previous month (at high risk). Execution was defined as the number of weekly doses while the participant had PrEP dispensed. For all analyses, participants were censored at seroconversion or study-related drug stops.

Descriptive statistics for persistence and execution were calculated overall and separately for younger women (<25 years of age at enrollment) and older women; comparisons between these age groups were conducted, using χ² tests for categorical outcomes and t-tests for continuous outcomes. A counterfactual analysis was used to estimate the protective effect of PrEP against HIV acquisition, as there was no placebo group; this analysis used bootstrap resampling simulations of placebo-arm participants in an HIV-prevention clinical trial, matched on gender, risk score, time in study, and, as needed, age (< or ≥25 years old) to calculate the expected and observed HIV incidence rates, as previously described.8 All analyses were done in SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary NC).

RESULTS

Of the 310 women included in the analysis, 24% were <25 years at baseline. Among all the women, 97% were married to their study partner, for an average of 7.4 years, and had on average 1.6 children with their partner; couples knew their serodiscordant status for 0.7 years on average. Young women were more likely to report ≥8 years of education and shorter relationships with their study partner.

There were 10,871 weeks of follow-up time on PrEP. Overall, women recorded ≥6 weekly doses for 78% of weeks on PrEP (regardless of risk) (Fig. 1). Doses recorded per week differed significantly by age, as young women took ≥6 doses for 67% of weeks and older women for 80% of weeks, P < 0.001. Using a lower cutoff, 88% of weeks had ≥4 recorded doses.

FIGURE 1. Weekly doses while on PrEP among all women (A) and women aged <25 years (B).
doses, with younger women recording ≥4 doses for 80% of weeks, compared with 90% of weeks among older women, \( P < 0.001 \).

Overall, women were at risk (ie, the HIV-infected partner not yet on ART for ≥6 months) for an average of 361 days (Table 1), and time at risk did not differ between younger and older women (367 and 360 days, respectively, \( P = 0.73 \)). The median persistence was 100% (interquartile range 38%, 100%) and 54% of women recorded PrEP use for the entire risk period or longer, (ie, ≥100% persistence). There was a significant difference by age, with 41% of younger women compared with 58% of older women recording PrEP use for their entire risk period or longer, \( P = 0.01 \).

Of the 142 (46%) women who stopped PrEP while still at risk, 51% reintitated PrEP (ie, at least 1 MEMS cap opening) during their risk period; this includes 47% of younger women and 53% of older women, \( P = 0.51 \). Additionally, among the 51 women whose partners initiated ART at baseline, 76% recorded PrEP use for their entire risk period, including 62% of younger women and 82% of older women, \( P = 0.25 \). However, among the 259 women whose HIV-infected partners did not initiate ART at baseline (including those whose partners never initiated ART), 50% recorded PrEP use for their entire risk period, including 37% of younger women and 54% of older women, \( P = 0.02 \).

The average duration of the high-risk period (ie, partner <6 months ART until the first report of no sexual activity) was 282 days (Table 1), and the duration was similar for younger and older women (284 and 281 days, respectively, \( P = 0.88 \)). Among all women, 63% recorded PrEP use for their entire high-risk period (or longer), with 49% of young women recording PrEP use for the entire high-risk period, compared with 67% of older women, \( P = 0.006 \).

A total of 3 seroconversions were observed among women in the study, for an incidence rate of 0.5 per 100 person-years. The counterfactual analysis predicted an incidence rate of 7.6 per 100 person-years among all women (42.1 cases expected), for a protective effect of 93% (95% confidence interval: 77% to 98%). Similarly, among women under 25, one seroconversion was observed, for a rate of 0.7 per 100 person-years, whereas the expected rate was 7.8 per 100 person-years with 14.1 cases expected, for a protective effect of 91% (95% confidence interval: 29% to 99%). The woman aged <25 years who acquired HIV infection was on PrEP for 27% of her risk period and while on PrEP, 4 of 15 weeks recorded ≥4 doses; the 6 weeks before seroconversion recorded ≤2 doses per week. Of the 2 older women who seroconverted, one lacked MEMS data. The other was on PrEP until her seroconversion, with ≥4 doses reported 5 of 12 weeks; the last 4 weeks had ≤2 doses.

**DISCUSSION**

Women in this demonstration project were at sustained risk for HIV and the majority effectively used PrEP while at risk. More than half of women (54%) recorded PrEP use for their entire risk period or longer, 23.5% stopped and reintitated PrEP while at risk, and only 22.5% stopped completely while at risk. While on PrEP, women recorded ≥6 doses for 78% of weeks and ≥4 doses for 88% of weeks. This degree and pattern of adherence resulted in an estimated 93% protection against HIV.

Pharmacokinetic data have been interpreted to suggest that 6–7 weekly doses may be required to achieve protection from HIV in the female genital tract. We found that not all but the majority of time on PrEP (78%) recorded ≥6 weekly doses—and, importantly, with that pattern of use HIV protection was high. Previous studies indicate that HIV-uninfected individuals align their PrEP use with periods of risk,12–14 which may explain the strong protective effect even with imperfect adherence. Messaging about using PrEP during “seasons” of risk may help women understand that PrEP use is short term, unlike lifelong ART use, and may increase PrEP uptake and adherence.6,15 However, daily dosing should be emphasized during these seasons of risk to optimize efficacy and establish consistent adherence routines.16

Previous studies have demonstrated that unique HIV risks and adherence challenges exist for young adults. In the iPrEx study of men who have sex with men and transgender women, younger men (18–24 years old) were less likely to have PrEP detected in blood samples. In addition, results from a study of dapivirine ring use found no protective effect among women under 21.18 In this study, time at risk was similar between younger and older women. However, younger women were less likely to use PrEP during their time at risk and while on PrEP they were less likely to have ≥6 doses per week, supporting the idea that they may face greater challenges to adherence. Nevertheless, many women aged <25 years in this study took PrEP, with sustained persistence and high execution, and the HIV protection achieved in the population was high. Better understanding of how young women perceive their HIV risk and whether adherence increases during periods of perceived risk will be useful in reducing adherence barriers.

**TABLE 1. Persistence and Time at Risk, by Age**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Persistence &gt;100%</th>
<th>At Risk</th>
<th>At High Risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Women (n = 310)</td>
<td>Women Aged &lt;25 (n = 73)</td>
<td>Women Aged ≥25 (n = 237)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistence &gt;100%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days at risk, mean (SD)</td>
<td>361 (170)</td>
<td>367 (173)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Women (n = 309)</td>
<td>Women Aged &lt;25 (n = 73)</td>
<td>Women Aged ≥25 (n = 236)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistence &gt;100%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days at risk, mean (SD)</td>
<td>282 (171)</td>
<td>284 (160)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*χ² or t test (unequal variance).
for this population. In addition, there may be other barriers, such as family and partner influences, that disproportionately affect young women.20–22

There are several limitations to this study. Although MEMS caps provide day-level data, they are a proxy for actual doses and can be over or underreported.23 However, previous work has shown that electronic monitoring can detect regular PrEP users, as supported by plasma tenofovir levels.24 Data on serocconversion, sexual activity, and ART initiation were collected quarterly, and data on outside partners were insufficient to assess HIV risk, leading to imprecision in calculating risk periods and potential misclassification. Only mutually disclosed HIV-serodiscordant couples were included, and the results may not be generalizable to all women. In particular, defining risk may be more clear-cut in a serodiscordant couple (ie, before the HIV-infected partner is virally suppressed), and PrEP may be seen as a way for couples to maintain the relationship.25,26

The strengths of this analysis include large sample size, longitudinal data, use of electronic monitoring, and the inclusion of risk in assessing adherence. Our results do not provide a threshold of PrEP use for HIV protection in women, but they demonstrate that patterns of PrEP use outside clinical trials are associated with very low HIV risk, even in a population that would otherwise be at very high risk for acquiring HIV.

In conclusion, PrEP is an effective HIV-prevention tool for East African women in serodiscordant couples. Women, including women aged <25 years, are able to adhere to PrEP over sustained periods of risk at levels that provided significant protection. Although women should aim for daily PrEP use during seasons of risk, the threshold of perfect adherence should not be expected or become a barrier to PrEP delivery.
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